

Natural Conservatism

What is this?

This is a political philosophy for the common human being with no supernatural explanations.

This is a path for humanity.

What are you for?

Family

Tradition

Order

What are you against?

We reject the preoccupation with “the self.”

We oppose *utopianism* (the belief that society can be made perfect).

We oppose *egalitarianism* (the belief that all human beings are equal).

We oppose *parasitism* (when one exists at the expense of another).

We oppose *globalization* (the destruction of the local).

We oppose *multiculturalism* (the destruction of the traditional).

We oppose diversity (the destruction of the community).

Who are you?

We are the inevitable conclusion to the prevailing ideology of the last century.

We are the antithesis of the Moderns.

We are the Naturals.

We are students of human nature and the natural order.

Rights

You have a right to not be deprived of your life, by the will of another, against your will; unless your behaviour merits otherwise.

You have a right to not be deprived of your time, and the products of your time, by the will of another, against your will; unless your behaviour merits otherwise.

You have a right to think, feel, share and express how you choose in private; without fear of state, corporate or personal, interference or reprisal.

Human Beings

Human beings have special rights.

Human beings have special rights from the moment of their creation.

Human beings have special rights for one reason: we stand apart from evolution. Most other living beings are bound to evolution by natural selection, but by our own volition, human beings are now free! We have done this using knowledge. People are born and live today who in the past would not have survived.

Any beings with the ability to unshackle themselves from evolution would be deserving of equal special rights.

A human being is determined by DNA.

DNA does not confer rights. DNA does not have rights. DNA is merely a reliable way to determine if someone is a human being.

Completeness does not confer rights. Incomplete human beings have the same rights as complete human beings.

Independence does not confer rights. Dependent human beings have the same rights as independent human beings.

Human beings are inherently disordered. Ageing and decay are symptoms of disordering.

There is no perfect human being.

A human being is one that has not been genetically modified. While it may be justified to genetically modify a human being to prevent their suffering, they must be prevented from contaminating future human beings.

No genetically modified being shall be permitted to procreate with a human being.

The purpose of human beings is to procreate and to live an ordered existence.

The purpose of male and female human beings is inherently in conflict, this is due to their complimentary and adversarial relationship.

Female

The purpose of female human beings is to acquire the best available male human being and to procreate with him.

The purpose of female human beings is to acquire the best available male human being to provision for her and her offspring.

The female disorder: *hypergamy* (the need to collect the best seed possible).

Male

The purpose of male human beings is to create order.

The purpose of male human beings is to be desirable to female human beings.

The male disorder: *philandering* (the need to spread as much seed as possible).

Order and Disorder

Normal, healthy human beings crave order. Order is predictable and safe.

Disorder is necessary for order to have meaning.

Natural Conservatives should refrain from making judgments in public about if something is good or bad, right or wrong. Natural Conservatives are encouraged to make judgments to determine if something is ordered or disordered.

Order is when purpose and process are aligned.

The purpose of the spoon is to scoop, scooping with the spoon is ordered. The purpose of the knife is to cut, cutting with the knife is ordered. Scooping with the knife is disordered. Cutting with the spoon is disordered. It is not to say that you can't cut with a spoon or scoop with a knife, you obviously can, but it is disordered.

The purpose of human sex organs are for procreation. Using human sex organs for procreation is an ordered behaviour. Using human sex organs for any other function is inherently disordered.

Disorder should never be celebrated or promoted.

Conflict

The traditional goal of conservatism has been to reduce and control conflict. Yet, conflict is inherent and necessary to the human condition. It makes us better than we thought we could be and raises us to new ways of seeing, thinking and understanding.

Conflict is also potentially dangerous and so should be treated with respect. Violent conflict is very dangerous and infringes on another human being's rights.

Therefore not all conflict is equal, some conflict is worse than others.

Inter-Racial, violent conflict is the worst of all.

Why?

If Whites fight Whites, no matter who wins, Whites still exist.

If the Brown Race fights the Yellow Race, there is a chance that the loser might cease to exist.

Race

Race Laws

No Race can rule over another Race.

No Race can parasite another Race.

Every Race has a right to self determination.

Every Race has an inviolable Homeland.

Intra-Racial conflict can not be interfered with by another Race.

Inter-Racial conflict is forbidden.

Race is determined by DNA.

There are Seven Races.

Black - The people of Sub-Saharan Africa

Brown - The people of North Africa, the Middle East, Caucasus, Central and South Asia

Orange - The people of South East Asia, the Pacific Islands and the Arctic nomads

Purple - The people of Australia

Red - The people of South and Central America

White - The people of Europe and Russia

Yellow - The people of East Asia

Politics

Natural conservatives recognize only three political philosophies. All others are variations or distortions meant to isolate, deceive or dilute. Two of the philosophies have their roots in human biology and are as old as civilization. The third is a modern product of the mind and has its origins in the *White Enlightenment*.

Conservatism

Conservatives idealize the family.

They believe in order and tradition.

Liberalism

Liberals idealize the individual.

They believe in law and *free-market capitalism*.

Progressivism

Progressives idealize the state.

They believe in *utopianism* and *egalitarianism*.

The Problems with Conservatism

Conservatism has its roots in the nature of male human beings.

Male human beings evolved as the “disposable” gender. Male human beings were designed to confront danger, notably in the form of other male human beings. Male human beings are naturally inclined to want to create order. Order is predictable and safe and prevents male human beings from being arbitrarily “disposed” of.

Stagnation, conformity and ignorance are the product of too much conservatism.

The Problems with Liberalism

Liberalism has its roots in the mind. The problem is your mind stands alone. Human beings are not solitary creatures, we can only exist in groups, immersed in social interaction and conflict. The liberal seeks to mitigate conflict through law, this is a worthy goal. Sadly, using intellectual abstraction, a powerful elite can twist the law to their benefit at the expense of the intellectually impoverished.

Preoccupation with “the self,” greed and degeneracy are the product of too much liberalism.

The Problems with Progressivism

Progressivism has its roots in the nature of female human beings.

Female human beings evolved as the “protected” gender. Female human beings were the prize male human beings fought over and defended.

Female human beings are deeply social by nature. They want a society where they can have an equal say, so they can promote their unique gendered interests. They also want to be the ones who determine what is socially acceptable and what is not. This is understandable (yet still entirely unacceptable) since they dominate the social sphere in a way that male human beings can find difficult to grasp.

Progressivism promotes two great lies: *utopianism* and *egalitarianism*.

Utopianism (the belief in an ideal world without suffering or conflict) is not possible. Female human beings need conflict, specifically they need male human beings to compete and “conflict” with each other so they can determine who is best. Female human beings have a very precious, limited resource: fertility. Like any scarce resource they want to spend it as wisely as possible. From the female perspective it makes sense that the conflict they want males to be “conflicting” over is the establishment of an idealized utopia. The utopia is the perfect environment female human beings imagine to raise their offspring in safety and provisioning.

Egalitarianism (the belief in equality) is obviously appealing to the physically weaker sex in a world where might makes right. The problem is it can never exist because human beings aren't created equal. Human beings are not clones. If all human beings were equal evolution would not work. Evolution by natural selection is predicated on the notion that all is not equal and therefore the survivor is the best adapted. Abstract intellectual ideas about equality are usually

founded on appeals to the supernatural. While those ideas might speak to your innermost desire, law should be founded on reality not abstraction.

Progressivism is the most dangerous and destructive ideology because while claiming justice and equality, it actually engenders perpetual disorder and conflict.

Privacy and the State

Privacy has traditionally been the interest of liberals because it grants the individual the freedom to do things the family or the state would disapprove of.

Human beings have no right to privacy specifically, just freedom from the consequences of what you say, think, feel and express in private.

Human beings have an inherent interest in guaranteeing that another human beings privacy is respected; because what can be done to others, can also be done to you.

What is private?

The nature of privacy has changed due to human knowledge. The creation of the smart-phone changed how we can and should deal with what is private and what is public. Every adult must have a smart-phone (or similar) to facilitate and guarantee their privacy right.

Natural Conservatives recognize three levels of privacy:

Full Privacy - Private

Partial Privacy

No Privacy - Public

Privacy is typically determined by doorways. When you pass through a doorway you might be entering a new stage of privacy.

Public is outside, typically under the sky. Outside (in public) you have no privacy and should assume that everything you do is being monitored or recorded. In public you have limited freedom, tradition and order determine norms and habits.

Partial Privacy is the first stage of privacy. When you enter a vehicle or a building, what might not be allowed in public (such as blasphemy or cursing) could be allowed in a specific venue. Some examples include: A bar or night club where dress codes and conduct are relaxed, a library where open political discussions can be engaged in, or a theatre where pornography is shown. Physical (offline) venues of partial privacy must be approved of by the State.

Full privacy would be in a home or hotel room. Only adults can enter full privacy and entering full privacy with another adult is explicit consent. With full privacy you have full freedom, you are restricted in nothing but interfering with another beings rights.

Children can never attain full privacy.

Your level of privacy online is determined by your level of privacy in the real world (or your choice of a lesser degree of privacy). If you record (share) something online it can be seen or heard only by others sharing your level of privacy at the time of recording. To allow otherwise would negate your privacy right.

What privileges does the state have?

To know your DNA. The only way your rights can be guaranteed and asserted is if the state has this information. Upon birth a DNA test must done to determine if you are a human being, what race you are, and paternity.

To force you to procreate. If your Race is in threat of extinction or collapse it is the states prerogative to ensure its survival. Your life is only partly your own, you have a connection and responsibility to something bigger than just yourself.

To protect and guarantee your rights.

Questions and Answers

What is this really?

This is a conservative alternative to neoliberal globalization. This is a platform that traditionalists from all over the planet can superimpose over their particular agenda to allow them to work together for common goals.

Is this racist?

Only in the traditional sense, meaning a racist was someone who thought about, talked about, studied and was interested in race. The modern definition is just a slur used to attack people. Scientific-racism is the single greatest threat to the myth of the *Blank Slate*. That is why *Blank Slate* ideologues are so vociferous and hysterical in their anti-scientific dogma. Natural conservatives are comfortable with race and view it accordingly.

Is this white supremacist?

None of our race laws favour one race over another and in fact our race laws are specifically designed to eliminate interracial conflict and preserve the human races. In terms of supremacism; a normal, health human being with a positive self-image is inherently supremacist. If a human being were to think or feel that their specific race were somehow inferior or inherently flawed that would be a symptom of abuse, minority status and/or potential brain-washing. Therefore (in the interest of human well-being) natural conservatives are pro-racial supremacism for all races.

Is this hate speech?

Hate is a human feeling and like love only exists as an abstraction. Efforts to erase or 'dismantle' a human feeling are misguided and arrogant and will fail. Hate (like love) only exists in the mind of the observer.

Do you hate LGBT-alphabet people?

All human beings are inherently disordered. We reject utopianism; there is no perfect human being. *Homosexuality*, like *Transsexuality* or any other *Fetish* is a type of Psychosexual Disorder (*Paraphilia*). Disorder should never be promoted or celebrated.

Why do you use colour to distinguish the races?

Colour is the ideal way to describe the races. We all can see that the colour purple exists, but where purple ends and red begins is arbitrary. Classification through colour speaks to a larger truth: the races are real, differences are noticeable/measurable and human beings are part of something greater than themselves.

What about Multi-racial (mixed-race) human beings?

A mixed-race human being is one who has no dominant racial DNA, meaning DNA of a particular race greater than 50%. If a person had for example 62.5% Yellow DNA, 25% Orange DNA and 12.5% Brown DNA that person is not mixed race, that person is a member of the Yellow Race. If a person had for example 50% Brown DNA, 25% Red DNA, 12.5% White DNA, 12.5% Purple DNA, that person would be mixed-race.

Human beings whose race is mixed are unique but transient within racial-time. Most mixed-race human beings are quickly absorbed into the majority race (this is for their safety). Mixed-race individuals must choose, upon adulthood, what race they identify as. If they contain even

one drop of that race's DNA, they must then be accepted as belonging to that race. Races are still allowed though, to control the absorption of mixed-race human beings, especially if the race is in danger of destruction through dilution. Races have a right to self-determination, maintaining their racial viability is one of their main duties. Absorption of mixed-race individuals strengthens the race, as long as they are prevented from overwhelming it.

What is the Racial Homeland?

Every Race has a Homeland, based on history and geography. The racial homeland is important for three reasons.

- 1) It is where male human beings of only that Race are allowed to vote.
- 2) It is where human beings of only that Race are allowed to work in the government.
- 3) It is where human beings of only that Race are allowed to join labour unions.

I'm a Brown person and I want to live in a Black Homeland, why can't I?

You can, of course, and have a great life. You just won't have any political rights (except in the Brown homeland) or be able to join the government or a labour union. You can be banished from the Black Homeland for any reason or no reason but because "No Race can rule over another Race" you can't be tried in a court of law and punished except by your own Race. Plus you can't receive any welfare or government assistance from the Black homeland because "No Race can parasite another Race". Likewise you would never have to pay any taxes to the Black Homeland. As well you could never interfere in any Black conflict no matter how minor. None of the Race laws would prevent you from living amongst another Race, unless they didn't want you to. Races do have a right to self-determination so they could prevent your potential mixed-race offspring from being absorbed into them (prevent your offspring from procreating) if the Race believed they were in danger of losing stock viability. That being said, human beings are adverse to being a minority. We were designed by evolution to prefer to live amongst our "blood". The online and virtual world will allow people to have the cosmopolitan experience while still living the way nature intended.

What about Post-racial (or Colourless) human beings?

Some human beings will reject their racial heritage, the earth is not for them. In the near future humanity will start to expand out to the moon, then our solar system, then maybe even the stars of our galaxy. Humanity should start to see the earth as a preserve. Earth is where we preserve the human seed. Post-racialists inherit the moon and beyond.

What is the Racial right to self-determination?

- 1) The right to form a governmental body to represent the Race and Homeland.
- 2) The right to tax the Race.
- 3) The right to maintain and determine racial viability.
- 4) The right to compel the procreation of the Race in the face of declining viability.
- 5) The right to regulate the absorption of Mixed-Race human beings into the Race.
- 6) The right to banish other Race individuals from your Homeland.
- 7) The right to regulate the amount of other Races who are allowed to live in and visit your homeland.

Why isn't North America listed as a Red homeland?

While it is true that some (relatively very few) Red people inhabited North America at the time of White settlement, they were merely stone-age tribes. They had no recorded civilization and were engaged in near constant genocidal struggles with each other. At the time, White domination was inevitable. The fact that Whites did not genocide them was both surprising and a truly remarkable achievement. That being said, North America is the special case. North America must be divided up between the seven races. It will be a bitter pill for many Whites to swallow but worth it for racial peace and harmony.

I thought conservatism was all about economics. Do you oppose capitalism?

Liberalism is the only political philosophy uniquely concerned with an economic system. Natural conservatives view the economy through the lens of the family. A few family members will succeed beyond expectations within any given system, most will be average, and a few will fail. We are concerned with protecting the weakest members of our family, even if it means the rest must sometimes sacrifice more than was initially desired. It is one thing to help the unfortunate, it's another to reward bad behaviour.

What is your goal?

A human civilization of over 100 billion human beings that lasts until the end of time.